

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/540,953	ANNIC, ETIENNE	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DANIEL MITCHELL	2477	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DANIEL MITCHELL.

(2) Lance J. Lieberman (Reg No. 28,437).

**Date of Interview:** 9 July 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*An Examiner's amendment was discussed to amend the legal terms used in the abstract and an amendment to claim 1 was discussed to ensure the system claim of claim 1 is not implemented purely in software as per the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Chirag G Shah/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2477

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:30 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)